UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,295	04/05/2007	Donald W. Kufe	GENU:006US/10717023	2459
	7590 06/23/200 & JAWORSKI L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
600 CONGRES			MCGARRY, SEAN	
SUITE 2400 AUSTIN, TX 78701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/598,295	KUFE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sean R. McGarry	1635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange.	r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer, Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/08/08;9/26/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/598,295 Page 2

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 4/07/09 is acknowledged.

Claims 6 and 7 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/07/09.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dobie [US 6,716,627, cited by applicant].

Dobie et al have disclosed that it was known in the art to inhibit MUC-1 in cancer cells via antibodies and antisense compounds. Dobie has disclosed that antisense compounds can be used to treat various hyperproliferative diseases including cancer. It is noted colon cancer cells are identified as a MUC1 expressing cancer cells. It is the position of the examiner that all that is necessary to meet the limitations of the instant invention is the administration of a MUC1 inhibitory compound such as an antisense, which is the only recited step in the instant claims. Furthermore it is the inhibition of MUC1 that provides forth the enhancing of death receptor-induced apoptosis required by the instant claims.

Claims 1-5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kufe et al [US 20040018181, cited by applicant].

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Kufe et al have taught the use of antisense and siRNA targeted to MUC1 for the treatment of various cancers including colon cancer and breast cancer, for example.

Kufe et al have taught all of the methods steps required by the instant claims. It is the position of the examiner that all that is necessary to meet the limitations of the instant

Art Unit: 1635

invention is the administration of a MUC1 inhibitory compound such as an antisense, which is the only recited step in the instant claims. Furthermore it is the inhibition of MUC1 that provides forth the enhancing of death receptor-induced apoptosis required by the instant claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobie [US 6,716,627] in view of Tuschl et al [US 20041259247].

The invention is as clearly set forth in the claims.

Dobie is relied on as above. Dobie does not teach the use of siRNA.

Tuschl et al have taught that siRNA compounds are safer and more effective than prior art inhibitors such as antisense compounds. Tuschl et al have taught that siRNA can be easily designed to inhibit any gene of a known sequence and can be used in the treatment of disease such as cancer. The prior art has already taught to use inhibitors such as antisense to inhibit MUC1 for the treatment of hyperproliferative disease such as cancer. One in the art would surely turn to compounds that have been shown to be more safe and more effective for the treatment of such conditions.

The invention as a whole would therefore have been prima facie obvious to one in the art at the time the invention was made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean R. McGarry whose telephone number is (571) 272-0761. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Douglas Schultz can be reached on (571) 272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,295 Page 6

Art Unit: 1635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sean R McGarry Primary Examiner Art Unit 1635

/Sean R McGarry/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635